Errata

Errors were made in the September 2012 issue (Volume 42, number 5) of Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice regarding the exclusion of Dr. Kaoru Endo’s name. On page 936 of the article “Interlocking Nails and Minimally Invasive Osteosynthesis”, the last sentence leading into page 937 should read as follows:

“Following the successful experimental and clinical use of modified Huckstep nails in animals by Johnson and Huckstep13 and then Muir and colleagues,14–16 several dedicated veterinary systems were independently designed in the early 1990s by Dueland and Johnson17 (United States), Duhautois and van Tilburg18 (France), Durall and Diaz19 (Spain), and Endo and colleagues20 (Japan).”

Likewise, in the same article on page 945, the second sentence of the section “Common Indications” should read:

“During the past 20 years, because of the work of such pioneer surgeons as Johnson,13 Dueland,17 Duhautois,18 Durall,50 Basinger,51 Endo,20 and others, interlocking nailing has gained increasing acceptance in veterinary orthopedics as a reliable osteosynthesis method.”

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cvsm.2014.07.002

In the November 2013 issue (Volume 43, number 6), in the article “Practical Interpretation and Application of Exocrine Pancreatic Testing in Small Animals” by Caroline Mansfield, the statement on page 1250: “This study assessed dogs presenting to an emergency center with signs of acute abdominal disease and found a sensitivity of 82% but a specificity of 59% for SNAP-cPL. Another way of interpreting this is that approximately 40% of dogs with a positive SNAP-cPL had disease other than pancreatitis as their primary presenting problem”

Would more correctly read as:

“This study assessed dogs presenting to an emergency center with signs of acute abdominal disease and found a sensitivity of 82% but a specificity of 59% for SNAP-cPL. Another way of interpreting this is that approximately 40% of dogs without pancreatitis as their primary disease will test positive with the SNAP-cPL.”

The author thanks Steve Valeika, DVM, PhD for this correction.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cvsm.2014.07.001